

COOLEY LLP
MAX A. BERNSTEIN (305722)
(mbernstein@cooley.com)
RYAN STEVENS (306409)
(rstevens@cooley.com)
3 Embarcadero Center, 20th Floor
San Francisco, California 94111-4004
Telephone: +1 415 693 2000
Facsimile: +1 415 693 2222

TIANA DEMAS
(tdemas@cooley.com)
110 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 4200
Chicago, IL 60606
Telephone: +1 312 881 6500
Facsimile: +1 312 881 6598

Attorneys for Defendant Roblox Corporation

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JANE DOE,

Plaintiff,

v.

ROBLOX CORPORATION; DISCORD
INC.; and DOES 1-50, inclusive,

Defendants.

Joint Stipulation and Order Staying Case

Case No. 4:25-cv-03520-YGR

JANE DOE, a minor, represented by her
proposed court-appointed guardian *ad*
litem, MARY DOE,

Plaintiff,

v.

ROBLOX CORPORATION and DOES 1-
50, inclusive,

Defendant.

Case No. 3:25-cv-04329-RFL

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

JOHN DOE, as next friend of minor
plaintiff, JANE DOE,

Plaintiff,

v.

ROBLOX CORPORATION and
DISCORD INC.,

Defendants.

JANE DOE R.M, a minor represented by her
guardian and next friend, JANE DOE Z.O.,

Plaintiff,

v.

ROBLOX CORPORATION and DISCORD
INC.,

Defendants.

JANE DOE S.G., as guardian and next friend
of minor plaintiff, JANE DOE,

Plaintiff,

v.

ROBLOX CORPORATION and DISCORD,
INC.,

Defendants.

JANE DOE D.P., as guardian and next
friend of minor plaintiff, JANE DOE M.P.,

Plaintiff,

v.

ROBLOX CORPORATION,

Defendant.

Case No. 3:25-cv-05753-JSC

Case No. 3:25-cv-06087-RFL

Case No. 3:25-cv-06812-SI

Case No. 3:25-cv-06886-VC

1 JANE DOE A.L., et al.,

2 Plaintiff,

3 v.

4 ROBLOX CORPORATION,

5 Defendant.

Case No. 4:25-cv-07676-JST

6
7 JANE DOE GS 1, a minor by and through her
8 parent, PARENT GS 1,

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 ROBLOX CORPORATION and META
12 PLATFORMS, INC.,

13 Defendants.

Case No. 3:25-cv-07143-AMO

14
15
16 JANE DOE, a minor, represented by her
17 proposed court-appointed guardian *ad litem*,
MARY DOE,

18 Plaintiff,

19 v.

20 ROBLOX CORPORATION; SNAP INC.; and
21 DOES 1-50, inclusive,

22 Defendants.

Case No. 3:25-cv-07174-AMO

23 JANE DOE I.V., as guardian and next friend of
24 minor plaintiff, JANE DOE G.K.,

25 Plaintiff,

26 v.

27 ROBLOX CORPORATION,

28 Defendant.

Case No. 3:25-cv-07192-RFL

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

MARY DOE, as next friend of minor plaintiff,
JANE DOE,

Plaintiff,

v.

ROBLOX CORPORATION and DISCORD
INC.,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:25-cv-07291-RFL

JANE DOE B.E., as guardian and next friend
of minor plaintiff, JANE DOE Z.P.,

Plaintiff,

v.

ROBLOX CORPORATION,

Defendant.

Case No. 3:25-cv-07393-MMC

JANE DOE M.J., as guardian and next friend
of minor plaintiff, JANE DOE T.J.,

Plaintiff,

v.

ROBLOX CORPORATION and DISCORD
INC.,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:25-cv-07486-VC

MARY DOE, as next friend of minor plaintiff,
JANE DOE,

Plaintiff,

v.

ROBLOX CORPORATION and DISCORD
INC.,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:25-cv-07686-RS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

JANE DOE, a minor, represented by her
proposed court-appointed guardian *ad*
litem, MARY DOE,

Plaintiff,

v.

ROBLOX CORPORATION; and DOES
1-50, inclusive,

Defendant.

Case No. 3:25-cv-07852-TSH

JANE DOE D.M., as guardian and next
friend of minor plaintiff, JANE DOE
L.M.,

Plaintiff,

v.

ROBLOX CORPORATION and
DISCORD INC.,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:25-cv-07859-TSH

JANE DOE K.R., as guardian and next
friend of minor plaintiff, JANE DOE
M.M.,

Plaintiff,

v.

ROBLOX CORPORATION,

Defendant.

Case No. 3:25-cv-07889-SK

JOSEPH DOE, as next friend of minor
Plaintiff, JOHN DOE,

Plaintiff,

v.

ROBLOX CORPORATION and DOES 1-
50, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:25-cv-07899

MARY DOE, as next friend of minor
plaintiff, JANE DOE,

Plaintiff,

v.

ROBLOX CORPORATION and SNAP
INC.,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:25-cv-07909-LB

M.S., individually and as the parent and next
friend of minor, H.D.,

Plaintiff,

v.

ROBLOX CORPORATION;
DISCORD INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. 3:25-cv-07925-AGT

JANE DOE H.C., as guardian and next
friend of minor plaintiff, JANE DOE
H.A.C.,

Plaintiff,

v.

ROBLOX CORPORATION and
DISCORD INC.,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:25-cv-08076-AGT

STIPULATION

Plaintiffs and Defendants in the above-captioned actions (collectively, the “N.D. California Actions”), by and through their respective counsel of record (collectively, “the Parties”), pursuant to Civil Local Rules 6-2 and 7-12, hereby stipulate as follows:

WHEREAS, on September 8, 2025, plaintiffs in the below-listed cases pending before the Northern District of California filed an omnibus motion (the “Relation Motion”) to relate the below-listed cases (collectively, the “Relation Motion Cases”):

- 4:25-cv-03520-YGR
- 3:25-cv-04329-RFL
- 3:25-cv-05753-JSC
- 3:25-cv-06087-RFL
- 3:25-cv-06812-SI
- 3:25-cv-06886-VC
- 3:25-cv-07143-AMO
- 3:25-cv-07174-SK
- 3:25-cv-07192-JCS
- 3:25-cv-07291-RFL
- 3:25-cv-07393-MMC
- 3:25-cv-07486-VC

WHEREAS, Defendants anticipate filing Motions to Compel Arbitration and/or Motions to Dismiss the Complaints in the N.D. California Actions;

WHEREAS, on September 4, 2025, Judge Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers held a conference with the parties to the above-listed cases, and encouraged the Parties to enter stipulations to stay all deadlines in the Relation Motion Cases, including this case, pending resolution of the then-anticipated Relation Motion;

WHEREAS, on September 18, 2025, a motion for transfer with the Judicial Panel of Multi-District Litigation (the “JPML Motion”) was filed requesting transfer and consolidation into multi-district litigation the N.D. California Actions, among other federal cases;

1 WHEREAS, the Parties agree to stay all deadlines in the N.D. California Actions, including
 2 this case, pending resolution of both the Relation Motion and JPML Motion;

3 WHEREAS, Judge Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers indicated, and the Parties agree, that this
 4 stipulation in no way waives or alters Defendants' rights to move to compel arbitration;

5 WHEREAS, the Parties also agree that this stipulation in no way waives or alters
 6 Defendants' rights to otherwise respond to the Complaints, to oppose the Relation Motion, or to
 7 oppose the JPML Motion or any other motion filed before the Judicial Panel on Multi-District
 8 Litigation on any basis;

9 WHEREAS, each Plaintiff in the N.D. California Actions agrees to promptly provide
 10 Defendants, within two days of entry of this stipulation, with the below items to the extent
 11 applicable to each particular Plaintiff, so that Defendants can investigate the claims, evaluate
 12 arbitration rights, and use this information to preserve relevant evidence:

- 13 • The Roblox username(s) associated with any accounts Plaintiff allegedly used in
 14 connection with the allegations in this litigation or, if Plaintiff is currently unable to identify
 15 the username(s), other information sufficient to identify the Roblox account(s) Plaintiff
 16 allegedly used in connection with the allegations in this litigation; and
- 17 • The Discord ID(s) and Discord username(s) associated with any accounts Plaintiff
 18 allegedly used in connection with the allegations in this litigation or, if Plaintiff is currently
 19 unable to identify the ID(s) and username(s), the email address(es) and phone number(s)
 20 associated with any Discord account(s) Plaintiff allegedly used in connection with the
 21 allegations in this litigation; and
- 22 • The Instagram username(s) associated with any accounts Plaintiff allegedly used in
 23 connection with the allegations in this litigation or, if Plaintiff is currently unable to identify
 24 the username(s), other information sufficient to identify the Instagram account(s) Plaintiff
 25 allegedly used in connection with the allegations in this litigation; and
- 26 • The Snapchat username(s) associated with any accounts Plaintiff allegedly used in
 27 connection with the allegations in this litigation or, if Plaintiff is currently unable to identify
 28 the username(s), other information sufficient to identify the Snapchat account(s) Plaintiff

allegedly used in connection with the allegations in this litigation.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between counsel for the Parties, as follows:

- All proceedings in each of the N.D. California Actions are stayed pending resolution of both the Relation Motion and JPML Motion, and all deadlines and hearings in each of the N.D. California Actions are vacated.
- Each Plaintiff will provide the information identified above by the date indicated.
- In the event that the Relation Motion and JPML Motion are both denied, the Parties will promptly meet and confer about new case deadlines, including for Defendants' response to the Complaint, and file a Joint Status Report within 30 days of the decision on the JPML Motion to propose those deadlines or, alternatively, request a conference before the Court to set such deadlines.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: October 1, 2025

COOLEY LLP

By: /s/ Max A. Bernstein
Max A. Bernstein

Attorneys for Defendant
ROBLOX CORPORATION

Dated: October 1, 2025

DOLMAN LAW GROUP

By: /s/ Sara D. Beller
Sara D. Beller

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

1 Dated: October 1, 2025

ANAPOL WEISS

2
3 By: /s/ Alexandra M. Walsh
Alexandra M. Walsh

4 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

5 Dated: October 1, 2025

WAGSTAFF & CARTMELL, LLP

6
7 By: /s/ J. Kirk Goza
J. Kirk Goza

8 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

9
10 Dated: October 1, 2025

WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC

11
12 By: /s/ Devin Lynn Bolton
Devin Lynn Bolton

13 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

14
15 Dated: October 1, 2025

GIRARD SHARP

16
17 By: /s/ Sarah R. London
Sarah R. London

18 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

19 Dated: October 1, 2025

DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP

20
21 By: /s/ Adam S. Sieff
Adam S. Sieff

22 Attorneys for Defendant
23 DISCORD, INC.

24
25 Dated: October 1, 2025

COVINGTON & BURLING LLP

26
27 By: /s/ Ashley M. Simonsen
Ashley M. Simonsen

28 Attorneys for Defendant
META PLATFORMS, INC.

1 Dated: October 1, 2025

O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP

2
3 By: /s/ Leah Godesky
Leah Godesky

4 Attorneys for Defendant
5 SNAP, INC.
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO CIVIL LOCAL RULE 5-1(I)(3)


I, Max A. Bernstein, attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from all other signatories. Executed on October 1, 2025, in San Francisco, California.

/s/ Max A. Bernstein
Max A. Bernstein

ORDER

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 2, 2025



RITA F. LIN
United States District Court Judge

325103739 v1